

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,315	12/15/2003	Thomas E. Creamer	BOC9-2003-0080 (454)	5476
40987	7590 11/21/2006		EXAM	INER
AKERMAN SENTERFITT			WIN, AUNG T	
P. O. BOX 31 WEST PALM	188 1 BEACH, FL 33402-31	88	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WEST THEM BEHON, TE 33 102 3 100			2617	

DATE MAILED: 11/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/736,315	CREAMER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aung T. Win	2617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 S	September 2006.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application	1.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>4,10 and 16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17 & 18 is/are reject	ed.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
 Certified copies of the priority documen 	ts have been received.				
Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in .	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	*	n received in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	t received.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/736,315 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 08/21/2006 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed on 08/21/2006 with respect to claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17 & 18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17 & 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Neary (US20020076008A1) in view of Girardo (US20020077819A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/736,315

Art Unit: 2617

3.1 Regarding Claim 1, Neary discloses a method of verifying accuracy of performance of voice response system comprising: [see Abstract & Summary] [Paragraph 0015-0020] [See Figures]

Establishing a voice link between automated call generator (ACG) units (claimed test system) and IVR system (claimed voice response system) [Paragraph 0015-0017] [sending audible speech: 0027] [actual utterance: 0030];

Receiving a call-flow verification mode signal CFV from the test system

[Paragraph 0005 & 0034] to activate the voice response unit for determining a voice

prompt [Figures 4, 5 & 7] [executed Utterances or audible speech: Paragraph 0020,

0030, 0031, 0033 & 0034] to play over the voice link in response to the received signal.

Determining what voice prompt to send (i.e., what audible speech to send) teaches claimed executing step because IVR systems are implemented with software programs and executed accordingly [Also see Paragraph 0019].

Neary also discloses execution information [execution information "one", execution information "two" etc., Paragraph 0018] [Also See Figures] for the test system to process and route the call to the correct voice path by sending multiple audible speech to the test system over the voice link. Neary teaches IVR system execute software programs to send next audile speech to the test system in response to received execution information from the test system therefore, execution information must be associated with software programs as claimed i.e., claimed gathering and sending steps. Neary fails to disclose converting the voice prompt to text as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,315

Art Unit: 2617

Girardo discloses a system and method for testing and verification of voice response system (System Under Test SUT 7: Figure 1] with Voice Prompt Transcriber and Test system [VPTT: Figure 1]. VPTT as taught by Girardo comprises speech recognizer and transcriber 12 to speech recognize the voice prompt played over the established link and convert the voice prompt to text as claimed [Speech recognizer and transcriber 12: Figure 1] [0012 & 0016].

Page 4

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention of made to modify Neary's test system to implement VPTT as taught by Girardo to recognize the audible speech and further convert it to text as claimed.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this provide the improved test system for testing and verification of voice response system via speech recognition [Girardo: 0003-0009].

- 3.2 Claim 7 is the apparatus claim rejected for the same reasons as stated above in Claim 1 rejection because claimed steps executed by claimed means stated in Claim 9 are substantially closed to corresponding claimed steps of Claim 1. Therefore, at the time of invention of made, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that modified method teaches claimed means in order to process claimed steps accordingly.
- 3.3 Claim 13 is rejected for the same reasons as stated above in Claims 1 and 7 rejections because the claims steps substantially read on the corresponding steps of

Art Unit: 2617

Claims 1 and 7. AT the time of invention of made, it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, the system comprises a machine readable storage storing a computer program with sequences instructions to execute the claimed steps. Furthermore, IVR systems are preprogrammed [Neary: Paragraph 0019], thus ACG unit and IVR system include the computer program as claimed to perform such claimed steps for verifying the IVR system software by call-flow verification.

- 3.4 Claims 2, 8 & 14 are rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 1, 7 & 13 rejections. Neary also discloses testing system responding the IVR system by sending execution information in DTMF input [Paragraph 0015] [See Figures].
- 3.5 Claims 3, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17 &18 are also rejected for the same reason as stated above in Claim 1, 7 & 13 rejections. Regarding Claims 3, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, 15, 17 &18, Neary's verification method is to verify whether the call are routed to the correct voice path according to input response from the test system based on comparison analysis. [Verification to check all possible paths; Abstract] [Paragraph 0018] [See Figures] [Also see comparison analysis in Paragraphs 0019-0021, 0036, 0037 & 0045]. Neary also teaches speech recognizing voice prompts [See IVR action: Figure 2]

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

Connor US006477492B1

Coleman US005933475A

Tsai et al. US006504905B1/

Howard et al. US006587543B1

Michel et al. US006724865B1

Mills et al. US 20040032935A1

Mumford et al. US006427000B1

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aung T. Win whose telephone number is (571) 272-7549. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,315

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aung T. Win Group Art Unit 2617 November 15, 2006

DUC M. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Page 7